arXiv:1003.2518v1 [math-ph] 12 Mar 2010

A Kähler Structure on Cartan Spaces

E. Peyghan and A. Tayebi

March 15, 2010

Abstract

In this paper, we define a new metric on Cartan manifolds and obtain a Kähler structure on their cotangent bundles. We prove that on a Cartan manifold M of negative constant flag curvature, (T^*M_0, G, J) has a Kählerian structure. For Cartan manifolds of positive constant flag curvature, we show that the tube around the zero section has a Kählerian structure. Finally by computing the Levi-Civita connection and components of curvature related to this metric, we show that there is no non-Riemannian Cartan structure such that (T^*M_0, G, J) became a Einstein manifold or locally symmetric manifold.[1]

Keywords: Cartan space, Kähler structure, symmetric space, Einstein manifold.

1 Introduction

The modern formulation of the notion of Cartan spaces is due to the R. Miron [12], [13], [14]. Based on the studies of E. Cartan, A. Kawaguchi [7], R. Miron [11], [13], [14], S. Vacaru [19], [20], [21], D. Hrimiuc and H. Shimada [5], [6], P.L. Antonelli and M. Anastasiei [2], [9], [10], etc., the geometry of Cartan spaces is today an important chapter of differential geometry.

Analytical Mechanics and some theories in Physics brought into discussion regular Lagrangians and their geometry [9]. Regular Lagrangian which is 2-homogeneous in velocities is nothing but the square of a fundamental Finsler function and its geometry is Finsler geometry. This geometry was developed since 1918 by P. Finsler, E. Cartan, L. Berwald, H. Akbar-Zadeh and many others, see [8] and the most recent graduate texts [1][4][17][18]. On the other hand, there is a strong linkage among Finsler, Hamilton and Cartan geometries [16]. For example, Anastasiei and Vacaru provide a method of converting Lagrange and Finsler spaces and their Legendre transforms to Hamilton and Cartan spaces into almost Kähler structures on tangent and cotangent bundles [3]. But in Mechanics and Physics there exists also regular Hamiltonians whose geometry is also useful. This geometry is mainly due to Miron, and it is now systematically presented in the monograph [11]. A manifold endowed with a regular Hamiltonian which is 2-Homogeneous in momenta was called a Cartan space.

Let us denote the Hamiltonian structure on a manifold M by $(M, H(x,p))$. If the fundamental function $H(x,p)$ is 2-homogeneous on the fibres of the cotangent bundle (T^*M, M), then the notion of Cartan space is obtained. It is remarkable that these spaces appear as dual of the Finsler spaces, via Legendre transformation. Using this duality several important results in the Cartan spaces can be obtained: the canonical nonlinear connection, the canonical metrical connection, etc. Therefore, the theory of Cartan spaces has the same symmetry and beauty like Finsler geometry. Moreover, it gives a geometrical framework for the Hamiltonian theory of Mechanics or Physical fields.

---

[1] 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 53B40, 53C60

Let (M, K) be a Cartan space on a manifold M and $\tau := \frac{1}{2}K^2$. Let us define the symmetric M-tensor field $G_{ij} := \frac{1}{\beta}g_{ij} + \frac{v(\tau)}{\alpha\beta}p_i p_j$ on T^*M_0, where v is a real valued smooth function defined on $[0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and α and β are real constants. Then we can define the following Riemannian metric on T^*M_0

$$G = G_{ij}dx^i dx^j + G^{ij}\delta p_i \delta p_j,$$

where G^{ij} is the inverse of G_{ij}. Then we define an almost complex structure J on T^*M_0 by $J(\delta_i) = G_{ik}\dot{\partial}^k$ and $J(\dot{\partial}^i) = -G^{ik}\delta_k$.

In this paper, we prove that (T^*M_0, G, J) is an almost Kählerian manifold. Then we show that the almost complex structure J on T^*M_0 is integrable if and only if M has constant scalar curvature c and the function v is given by $v = -c\alpha\beta^2$. We conclude that on a Cartan manifold M of negative constant flag curvature, (T^*M_0, G, J) has a Kählerian structure. For Cartan manifolds of positive constant flag curvature, we show that the tube around the zero section has a Kählerian structure (see Theorem 3.5).

Then we find the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of the metric G. For the connection ∇, we compute all of components curvature. For a Cartan space (M, K) of constant curvature c, we prove that in the following cases (M, K) reduces to a Riemannian space: (i) for $c < 0$, (T^*M_0, G, J) became a Kähler Einstein manifold, (ii) for $c > 0$, $(T^*_\beta M_0, G, J)$ became a Kähler Einstein manifold, where $T^*_\beta M_0$ is the tube in T^*M_0. It results that, there is not any non-Riemannian Cartan structure such that (T^*M_0, G, J) became a Einstein manifold (see Theorem 4.1).

Finally, for a Cartan space (M, K) of constant curvature c, we prove that in the following cases (M, K) reduces to a Riemannian space: (i) for $c < 0$, (T^*M_0, G, J) is a locally symmetric Kähler manifold, (ii) for $c > 0$, $(T^*_\beta M_0, G, J)$ is a locally symmetric Kähler manifold. Then we conclude that there is not any non-Riemannian Cartan structure such that (T^*M_0, G, J) became a locally symmetric manifold (see Theorem 4.3).

2 Preliminaries

Let M be an n-dimensional C^∞ manifold and $\pi^* : T^*M \to M$ its cotangent bundle. If (x^i) are local coordinates on M, then (x^i, p_i) will be taken as local coordinates on T^*M with the momenta (p_i) provided by $p = p_i dx^i$ where $p \in T^*_x M$, $x = (x^i)$ and (dx^i) is the natural basis of $T^*_x M$. The indices $i, j, k, \ldots$ will run from 1 to n and the Einstein convention on summation will be used.

Put $\partial_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ and $\dot{\partial}^i := \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$. Then $(\partial_i, \dot{\partial}^i)$ be the natural basis in $T_{(x,p)}T^*M$ and (dx^i, dp_i) be the dual basis of it. The kernel $V_{(x,p)}$ of the differential $d\pi^* : T_{(x,p)}T^*M \to T_x M$ is called the vertical subspace of $T_{(x,p)}T^*M$ and the mapping $(x, p) \to V_{(x,p)}$ is a regular distribution on T^*M called the vertical distribution. This is integrable with the leaves $T^*_x M$, $x \in M$ and is locally spanned by $\dot{\partial}^i$. The vector field $C^* = p_i\dot{\partial}^i$ is called the Liouville vector field and $\omega = p_i dx^i$ is called the Liouville 1-form on T^*M. Then $d\omega$ is the canonical symplectic structure on T^*M. For an easer handling of the geometrical objects on T^*M it is usual to consider a supplementary distribution to the vertical distribution, $(x, p) \to N_{(x,p)}$, called the horizontal distribution and to report all geometrical objects on T^*M to the decomposition

$$T_{(x,p)}T^*M = N_{(x,p)} \oplus V_{(x,p)}. \tag{1}$$

The pieces produced by the decomposition (1) are called d-geometrical objects (d is for distinguished) since their local components behave like geometrical objects on M, although they depend on $x = (x^i)$ and momenta $p = (p_i)$.

The horizontal distribution is taken as being locally spanned by the local vector fields

$$\delta_i := \partial_i + N_{ij}(x,p)\dot{\partial}^j. \tag{2}$$

The horizontal distribution is called also a nonlinear connection on T^*M and the functions (N_{ij}) are called the local coefficients of this nonlinear connection. It is important to note that any regular Hamiltonian on T^*M determines a nonlinear connection whose local coefficients verify $N_{ij} = N_{ji}$. The basis $(\delta_i, \dot{\partial}^i)$ is adapted to the decomposition (1). The dual of it is $(dx^i, \delta P_i)$, for $\delta p_i = dp_i - N_{ji}dx^j$.

A Cartan structure on M is a function $K : T^*M \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$ which has the following properties: (i) K is C^∞ on $T^*M_0 = T^*M - \{0\}$; (ii) $K(x,\lambda p) = \lambda K(x,p)$ for all $\lambda > 0$ and (iii) the $n \times n$ matrix (g^{ij}), where $g^{ij}(x,p) = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\partial}^i\dot{\partial}^j K^2(x,p)$, is positive definite at all points of T^*M_0. We notice that in fact $K(x,p) > 0$, whenever $p \neq 0$. The pair (M,K) is called a Cartan space. Using this notations, let us define

$$p^i = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\partial}^i K^2 \quad \text{and} \quad C^{ijk} = -\frac{1}{4}\dot{\partial}^i\dot{\partial}^j\dot{\partial}^k K^2.$$

The properties of K imply that

$$\begin{aligned} &p^i = g^{ij}p_j, \quad p_i = g_{ij}p^j, \quad K^2 = g^{ij}p_ip_j = p_ip^j, \\ &C^{ijk}p_k = C^{ikj}p_k = C^{kij}p_k = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

One considers the formal Christoffel symbols by

$$\gamma^i_{jk}(x,p) := \frac{1}{2}g^{is}(\partial_k g_{js} + \partial_j g_{sk} - \partial_s g_{jk}), \tag{4}$$

and the contractions $\gamma^\circ_{jk}(x,p) := \gamma^i_{jk}(x,p)p_i$, $\gamma^\circ_{j\circ} := \gamma^i_{jk}p_ip^k$. Then the functions

$$N_{ij}(x,p) = \gamma^\circ_{ij}(x,p) - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^\circ_{h\circ}(x,p)\dot{\partial}^h g_{ij}(x,p), \tag{5}$$

define a nonlinear connection on T^*M. This nonlinear connection was discovered by R. Miron [12]. Thus a decomposition (1) holds. From now on we shall use only the nonlinear connection given by (5).

A linear connection D on T^*M is said to be an N-linear connection if D preserves by parallelism the distribution N and V, also we have $D\theta = 0$, for $\theta = \delta p_i \wedge dx^i$. One proves that an N-linear connection can be represented in the adapted basis $(\delta_i, \dot{\partial}^i)$ in the form

$$D_{\delta_j}\delta_i = H^k_{ij}\delta_j, \quad D_{\delta_j}\dot{\partial}^i = -H^i_{kj}\dot{\partial}^k, \tag{6}$$

$$D_{\dot{\partial}^j}\delta_i = V^{kj}_i\delta_k, \quad D_{\dot{\partial}^j}\dot{\partial}^i = -V^{ij}_k\dot{\partial}^k, \tag{7}$$

where V^{kj}_i is a d-tensor field and $H^k_{ij}(x,p)$ behave like the coefficients of a linear connection on M. The functions H^k_{ij} and V^{kj}_i define operators of h-covariant and v-covariant derivatives in the algebra of d-tensor fields, denoted by ${}_{|k}$ and $|^k$, respectively. For g^{ij} these are given by

$$g^{ij}{}_{|k} = \delta_k g^{ij} + g^{sj}H^i_{sk} + g^{is}H^j_{sk}, \tag{8}$$

$$g^{ij}|^k = \dot{\partial}^k g^{ij} + g^{sj}V^{ik}_s + g^{is}V^{jk}_s. \tag{9}$$

An N-linear connection given in the adapted basis $(\delta_i, \dot{\partial}^j)$ as $D\Gamma(N) = (H^i_{jk}, V^{ik}_j)$ is called metrical if

$$g^{ij}{}_{|k} = 0, \qquad g^{ij}|^k = 0. \tag{10}$$

One verifies that the N-linear connection $C\Gamma(N) = (H^i_{jk}, C^{ik}_j)$ with

$$H^i_{jk} = \frac{1}{2} g^{is}(\delta_j g_{sk} + \delta_k g_{js} - \delta_s g_{jk}), \tag{11}$$

$$C^{jk}_i = -\frac{1}{2} g_{is}(\dot{\partial}^j g^{sk} + \dot{\partial}^k g^{sj} - \dot{\partial}^s g^{jk}) = g_{is} C^{sjk}, \tag{12}$$

is metrical and its h-torsion $T^i_{jk} := H^i_{jk} - H^i_{kj} = 0$, v-torsion $S^{jk}_i := C^{jk}_i - C^{kj}_i = 0$ and deflection tensor $\Delta_{ij} = N_{ij} - p_k H^k_{ij} = 0$. Moreover, it is unique with these properties. This is called the canonical metrical connection of the Cartan space (M, K). It has also the following properties:

$$K^2_{|j} := \delta_j K^2 = 0, \quad K^2|^j = 2p^j, \tag{13}$$

$$p_{i|j} = p^i_{|j} = 0, \quad p_i|^j = \delta^j_i, \quad p^i|^j = g^{ij}. \tag{14}$$

$$R_{kij} p^k = 0, \quad P^i_{jk} p^j = 0, \quad P^i_{jk} := H^i_{jk} - \dot{\partial}^i N_{jk}. \tag{15}$$

$$\delta_i g_{jk} = H^s_{ji} g_{sk} + H^s_{ki} g_{js}. \tag{16}$$

3 Kähler Structures on T^*M_0

Suppose that

$$\tau := \frac{1}{2} K^2 = \frac{1}{2} g^{ij}(x,p) p_i p_j, \tag{17}$$

we consider a real valued smooth function v defined on $[0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and real constants α and β. We define the following symmetric M-tensor field of type (0,2) on T^*M_0 having the components

$$G_{ij} := \frac{1}{\beta} g_{ij} + \frac{v(\tau)}{\alpha\beta} p_i p_j. \tag{18}$$

It follows easily that the matrix (G_{ij}) is positive definite if and only if $\alpha, \beta > 0, \quad \alpha + 2\tau v > 0$. The inverse of this matrix has the entries

$$G^{kl} = \beta g^{kl} - \frac{v\beta}{\alpha + 2\tau v} p^k p^l. \tag{19}$$

The components G^{kl} define symmetric M-tensor field of type (0,2) on T^*M_0. It is easy to see that if the matrix (G_{ij}) is positive definite, then matrix (G^{kl}) is positive definite too. Using (G_{ij}) and (G^{ij}), the following Riemannian metric on T^*M_0 is defined

$$G = G_{ij} dx^i dx^j + G^{ij} \delta p_i \delta p_j. \tag{20}$$

Now, we define an almost complex structure J on T^*M_0 by

$$J(\delta_i) = G_{ik} \dot{\partial}^k, \quad J(\dot{\partial}^i) = -G^{ik} \delta_k. \tag{21}$$

It is easy to check that $J^2 = -I$.

Theorem 3.1. *(T^*M_0, G, J) is an almost Kählerian manifold.*

Proof. Since the matrix (H^{kl}) is the inverse of the matrix (G_{ij}), then we have

$$G(J\delta_i, J\delta_j) = G_{ik} G_{jr} G(\dot{\partial}^k, \dot{\partial}^r) = G_{ik} G_{jr} G^{kr} = G_{ij} = G(\delta_i, \delta_j).$$

The relations

$$G(J\dot{\partial}^i, J\dot{\partial}^j) = G(\dot{\partial}^i, \dot{\partial}^j), \qquad G(J\delta_i, J\dot{\partial}^j) = G(\delta_i, \dot{\partial}^j) = 0,$$

may be obtained in a similar way, thus

$$G(JX, JY) = G(X, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T^*M_0).$$

This means that G is almost Hermitian with respect to J. The fundamental 2-form associated by this almost Kähler structure is Ω, defined by

$$\theta(X, Y) := G(X, JY), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T^*M_0).$$

Then we get

$$\theta(\dot{\partial}^i, \delta_j) = G(\dot{\partial}^i, J\delta_j) = G(\dot{\partial}^i, G_{jk}\dot{\partial}^k) = G^{ik}G_{jk} = \delta^i_j,$$

and

$$\theta(\delta_i, \delta_j) = \theta(\dot{\partial}^i, \dot{\partial}^j) = 0.$$

Hence, we have

$$\theta = \delta p_i \wedge dx^i, \tag{22}$$

that is the canonical symplectic form of T^*M. ☐

Here, we study the integrability of the almost complex structure defined by J on T^*M. To do this, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. ([11][15]) Let (M, K) be a Cartan space. Then we have the following:
(1) $[\delta_i, \delta_j] = R_{kij}\dot{\partial}^k$,
(2) $[\delta_i, \dot{\partial}^j] = -(\dot{\partial}^j N_{ik})\dot{\partial}^k$,
(3) $[\dot{\partial}^i, \dot{\partial}^j] = 0$,
where $R_{kij} = \delta_j N_{ki} - \delta_i N_{kj}$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let (M, K) be a Cartan space. Then J is a complex structure on T^*M_0 if and only if $A_{kij} = 0$ and*

$$R_{kij} = \frac{v}{\alpha\beta^2}(g_{ik}p_j - g_{jk}p_i), \tag{23}$$

where $A_{kij} = \delta_i G_{jk} - \delta_j G_{ik} + G_{ir}\dot{\partial}^r N_{jk} - G_{jr}\dot{\partial}^r N_{ik}$.

Proof. Using the definition of the Nijenhuis tensor field N_J of J, that is,

$$N_J(X, Y) = [JX, JY] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY] - [X, Y], \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(T^*M)$$

we get

$$N_J(\delta_i, \delta_j) = A_{hij}H^{hk}\delta_k + (B_{kij} - R_{kij})\dot{\partial}^k, \tag{24}$$

where $B_{kij} = G_{ir}\dot{\partial}^r G_{jk} - G_{jr}\dot{\partial}^r G_{ik}$. Let $C^r_{jk} := g_{jl}g_{sk}C^{rls}$, then we have

$$\dot{\partial}^r g_{jk} = -g_{jl}g_{sk}\dot{\partial}^r g^{ls} = 2g_{jl}g_{sk}C^{rls} = 2C^r_{jk}.$$

By the above equation, we obtain

$$G_{ir}\dot{\partial}^r G_{jk} = \frac{2}{\beta^2}C_{ijk} + \frac{v}{\alpha\beta^2}(g_{ji}p_k + g_{ik}p_j) + (\frac{v'}{\alpha\beta^2} + \frac{2vv'\tau}{\alpha\beta} + \frac{2v^2}{\alpha^2\beta^2})p_i p_j p_k. \tag{25}$$

where $C_{ijk} = g_{ir}C^r_{jk}$. From (25) we get

$$B_{kij} = \frac{v}{\alpha\beta^2}(g_{ik}p_j - g_{jk}p_i). \tag{26}$$

By a straightforward computation, it follows that $N_J(\dot{\partial}^i, \dot{\partial}^j) = 0, N_J(\dot{\partial}^i, \delta_j) = 0$, whenever $N_j(\delta_i, \delta_j) = 0$. Therefore, from relations (24) and (26), we conclude that the necessary and sufficient conditions for vanishing the Nijenhuis tensor field N_J is obtained, so that J is a complex structure. Thus $A_{kij} = 0$ and (23) hold. ☐

In equation (23), we put $-\frac{v}{\alpha\beta^2} = c$, where c is constant. Then we get

$$R_{kij} = c(g_{jk}p_i - g_{ik}p_j). \tag{27}$$

Theorem 3.4. *Let (M, K) be a Cartan space of dimension $n \geq 3$. Then the almost complex structure J on T^*M_0 is integrable if and only if (27) is hold and the function v is given by*

$$v = -c\alpha\beta^2. \tag{28}$$

Proof. From equation $p_{i|k} = 0$ of relation (14), we conclude that $\delta_i p_k = N_{ik}$. Hence the tensor field A_{kij} takes the form $A_{kij} = \delta_i g_{jk} - \delta_j g_{ik} + g_{ir}\dot{\partial}^r N_{jk} - g_{jr}\dot{\partial}^r N_{ik}$ and by part (iii) of Proposition 2.3 in chapter 7 of [11], it vanishes for Cartan spaces. Now we let $v = -c\alpha\beta^2$, then from equation $A_{kij} = 0$ and Theorem 3.3, we conclude that J is integrable if and only if (27) is hold. ☐

A Cartan space K^n is of constant scalar c if

$$H_{hijk}p^i p^j X^h X^k = c(g_{hj}g_{ik} - g_{hk}g_{ij})p^i p^j X^h X^k, \tag{29}$$

for every $(x, p) \in T_0^*M$ and $X = (X^i) \in T_xM$. Here H_{hijk} is the (hh)h-curvature of the linear Cartan connection of K^n.

We replace H_{hijk} in (29) with $g_{is}H^s_{hjk}$ and so it reduce to

$$p_s H^s_{hjk} p^j X^h X^k = c(p_h p_k - K^2 g_{hk})X^h X^k. \tag{30}$$

By part (ii) of Proposition 5.1 in chapter 7 of [11], $p_s H^s_{hjk} = -R_{hjk}$, hence we get

$$R_{hjk}p^j X^h X^k = c(K^2 g_{hk} - p_h p_k)X^h X^k,$$

or equivalently

$$R_{hjk}p^j = c(K^2 g_{hk} - p_h p_k), \tag{31}$$

because (X^h) and X^k are arbitrary vector fields on M. It is easy to check that (31) follows from (27). Hence we conclude that if (27) is hold, then Cartan space K^n has the constant scalar curvature c.

Theorem 3.5. *Let (M, K) be a Cartan space with constant flag curvature c. Suppose that v is given by (28). Then*
(i) for negative constant c, structure (T^*M_0, G, J) is a Kähler manifold;
(ii) for positive constant c, the tube around the zero section in T^*M, defined by the condition $2\tau = K^2 < \frac{1}{c\beta^2}$, is a Kähler manifold.

Proof. The function uv must satisfies in the following condition

$$\alpha + 2\tau u = \alpha(1 + 2(-c)\beta^2\tau) > 0, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0. \tag{32}$$

By using the above relation and Theorem 3.4, we complete the proof. ☐

By attention to above theorem, the components of the Kähler metric G on TM_0 are given by

$$\begin{cases} G_{ij} = \frac{1}{\beta}g_{ij} - c\beta y_i y_j, \\ H_{ij} = \beta g_{ij} + \frac{c\beta^3}{1 - 2c\beta^2\tau} y_i y_j. \end{cases} \tag{33}$$

4 A Kähler Einstein Structure on Cotangent Bundle

In this section, we study the property of (T^*M_0, G) to be Einstein manifold. We find the expression of the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of the metric G on T^*M_0. Then we get the curvature tensor field of ∇, and by computing the corresponding traces we find the components of Ricci tensor field of ∇.

4.1 The Levi-Civita connection of G

Here we determine the Levi-Civita connection of the Kähler metric G. Recall that for Cartan space with Cartan connection, the relation $P^j_{ik} = H^j_{ik} - \dot{\partial}^j N_{ik}$ is hold, and so we have $[\delta_i, \dot{\partial}^j] = (P^j_{ik} - H^j_{ik})\dot{\partial}^k$. Also the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of the Riemannian manifold (T^*M_0, G) is obtained from the formula

$$\begin{aligned} 2G(\nabla_X Y, Z) &= X(G(Y,Z)) + Y(G(X,Z)) - Z(G(X,Y)) \\ &\quad + G([X,Y],Z) - G([X,Z],Y) - G([Y,Z],X), \quad \forall X,Y,Z \in \Gamma(T^*M_0), \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

and is characterized by the conditions $\nabla G = 0$ and $T = 0$, where T is the torsion tensor of ∇. By the above equation we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2G(\nabla_{\dot{\partial}^i}\dot{\partial}^j, \dot{\partial}^k) &= \dot{\partial}^i G(\dot{\partial}^j, \dot{\partial}^k) + \dot{\partial}^j G(\dot{\partial}^i, \dot{\partial}^k) - \dot{\partial}^k G(\dot{\partial}^i, \dot{\partial}^j) \\ &= \dot{\partial}^i(\beta g^{jk} + \frac{c\beta^3}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}p^j p^k) + \dot{\partial}^j(\beta g^{ik} + \frac{c\beta^3}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}p^i p^k) \\ &\quad - \dot{\partial}^k(\beta g^{ij} + \frac{c\beta^3}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}p^i p^j) \\ &= 2\beta(-C^{ijk} + \frac{c^2\beta^4}{(1-2c\beta^2\tau)^2}p^i p^j p^k + \frac{c\beta^2}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}g^{ij}p^k). \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Also, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2G(\nabla_{\dot{\partial}^i}\dot{\partial}^j, \delta_k) &= -\delta_k G(\dot{\partial}^i, \dot{\partial}^j) - G([\dot{\partial}^i, \delta_k], \dot{\partial}^j) - G([\dot{\partial}^j, \delta_k], \dot{\partial}^i) \\ &= -\delta_k(\beta g^{ij} + \frac{c\beta^3}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}p^i p^j) - \dot{\partial}^i N_{kr}(\beta g^{rj} + \frac{c\beta^3}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}p^r p^j) \\ &\quad - \dot{\partial}^j N_{kr}(\beta g^{ri} + \frac{c\beta^3}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}p^r p^i) \\ &= -\delta_k(\beta g^{ij} + \frac{c\beta^3}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}p^i p^j) + (P^i_{kr} - H^i_{kr})(\beta g^{rj} + \frac{c\beta^3}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}p^r p^j) \\ &\quad + (P^j_{kr} - H^j_{kr})(\beta g^{ri} + \frac{c\beta^3}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}p^r p^i). \end{aligned}$$

Since ${g^{ij}}_{|k} = 0$ and $\delta_k p^i = -p^r H^i_{rk}$ are hold for Cartan connection, then by the above equation we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2G(\nabla_{\dot{\partial}^i}\dot{\partial}^j, \delta_k) &= \frac{c\beta^3}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}(H^i_{rk}p^j + H^j_{rk}p^i - H^i_{kr}p^j - H^j_{kr}p^i)p^r + \beta(P^i_{kr} + P^j_{kr})g^{ri} - {g^{ij}}_{|k} \\ &= \beta(P^i_{kr}g^{rj} + P^j_{kr}g^{ri}). \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

From (35) and (36), we obtain

$$\nabla_{\dot{\partial}^i}\dot{\partial}^j = \frac{\beta^2}{2}(P^i_{kr}g^{rj} + P^j_{kr}g^{ri})g^{hk}\delta_h + (-C^{ij}_h + c\beta G^{ij}p_h)\dot{\partial}^h. \tag{37}$$

Since G is a Kähler metric, then (M, K) is of constant curvature c, i.e., $R_{kij} = c(g_{jk}p_i - g_{ik}p_j)$. Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
2G(\nabla_{\delta_i}\dot{\partial}^j, \delta_k) &= \dot{\partial}^j G(\delta_i, \delta_k) - G([\delta_i, \delta_k], \dot{\partial}^j) \\
&= \dot{\partial}^j(\frac{1}{\beta}g_{ik} - c\beta p_i p_k) - R_{rik}(\beta g^{rj} + \frac{c\beta^3}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}p^r p^j) \\
&= (\frac{1}{\beta}\dot{\partial}^j g_{ik} - c\beta\delta^j_i p_k - c\beta\delta^j_k p_i) - (c\beta\delta^j_k p_i - c\beta\delta^j_i p_k) \\
&= 2(\frac{1}{\beta}C^j_{ik} - c\beta\delta^j_k p_i), \qquad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

where $C^j_{ik} := g_{il}g_{kh}C^{jlh} = g_{il}C^{jl}_k$. Since $g^{jk}{}_{|i} = 0$ and $p^j_{|i} = p^k_{|i} = 0$, then we have

$$G^{jk}{}_{|i} = \beta g^{jk}{}_{|i} + \frac{c\beta^3}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}(p^j_{|i}p^k + p^k_{|i}p^j) = 0.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2G(\nabla_{\delta_i}\dot{\partial}^j, \dot{\partial}^k) &= \delta_i G(\dot{\partial}^j, \dot{\partial}^k) + G([\delta_i, \dot{\partial}^j], \dot{\partial}^k) - G([\delta_i, \dot{\partial}^k], \dot{\partial}^j) \\
&= \delta_i G^{jk} + (P^j_{ir} - H^j_{ir})G^{rk} + (H^k_{ir} - P^k_{ir})G^{rj} \\
&= G^{jk}{}_{|i} - 2G^{rk}H^j_{ir} + P^j_{ir}G^{rk} - P^k_{ir}G^{rj} \\
&= -2G^{rk}H^j_{ir} + P^j_{ir}G^{rk} - P^k_{ir}G^{rj}. \qquad (39)
\end{aligned}$$

From (38) and (39), we have

$$\nabla_{\delta_i}\dot{\partial}^j = (C^{jh}_i - c\beta G^{jh}p_i)\delta_h + (\frac{1}{2}P^j_{ih} - \frac{1}{2}P^k_{ir}G^{rj}G_{kh} - H^j_{ih})\dot{\partial}^h. \qquad (40)$$

Also from equation

$$\nabla_{\dot{\partial}^i}\delta_j - \nabla_{\delta_j}\dot{\partial}^i = [\dot{\partial}^i, \delta_j] = (H^i_{jh} - P^i_{jh})\dot{\partial}^h,$$

and (40) we obtain

$$\nabla_{\dot{\partial}^i}\delta_j = (C^{ih}_j - c\beta G^{ih}p_j)\delta_h - \frac{1}{2}(P^i_{jh} + P^k_{jr}G^{ri}G_{kh})\dot{\partial}^h. \qquad (41)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2G(\nabla_{\delta_i}\delta_j, \dot{\partial}^k) &= \dot{\partial}^k G(\delta_i, \delta_j) + G([\delta_i, \delta_j], \dot{\partial}^k) \\
&= -\dot{\partial}^k G_{ij} + R_{rij}G^{rk} \\
&= -\dot{\partial}^k(\frac{1}{\beta}g_{ij} - c\beta p_i p_j) + c\beta(g_{rj}p_i - g_{ri}p_j)(g^{rk} + \frac{c\beta^2}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}p^r p^k) \\
&= -\frac{2}{\beta}C^k_{ij} + 2c\beta\delta^k_j p_i, \qquad (42)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
2G(\nabla_{\delta_i}\delta_j, \delta_k) &= \delta_i G(\delta_j, \delta_k) + \delta_j G(\delta_i, \delta_k) - \delta_k G(\delta_i, \delta_j) \\
&= \delta_i G_{jk} + \delta_j G_{ik} - \delta_k G_{ij} \\
&= G_{jr}H^r_{ik} + G_{rk}H^r_{ij} + G_{ir}H^r_{jk} + G_{rk}H^r_{ij} - G_{rj}H^r_{ik} - G_{ir}H^r_{jk} \\
&= 2G_{rk}H^r_{ij}. \qquad (43)
\end{aligned}$$

From (42) and (43), we conclude the following equation

$$\nabla_{\delta_i}\delta_j = H^h_{ij}\delta_h + (-\frac{1}{\beta^2}C_{ijh} + c\beta G_{hj}p_i)\dot{\partial}^h. \qquad (44)$$

4.2 The Curvature Tensor

Here, we are going to compute the curvature tensors of ∇. Recall that the curvature K of ∇ is obtained from the following relation

$$K(X,Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z, \quad \forall X,Y,Z \in \Gamma(TM). \tag{45}$$

Using (45) we have

$$K(\dot{\partial}^i, \dot{\partial}^j)\dot{\partial}^k = \nabla_{\dot{\partial}^i} \nabla_{\dot{\partial}^j} \dot{\partial}^k - \nabla_{\dot{\partial}^j} \nabla_{\dot{\partial}^i} \dot{\partial}^k. \tag{46}$$

By (37) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\dot{\partial}^i} \nabla_{\dot{\partial}^j} \dot{\partial}^k = {} & \frac{\beta^2}{2} \dot{\partial}^i ((P^j_{lr} g^{rk} + P^k_{lr} g^{rj}) g^{lh}) \delta_h + \frac{\beta^2}{2} (P^j_{lr} g^{rk} + P^k_{lr} g^{rj}) g^{lh} \nabla_{\dot{\partial}^i} \delta_h \\ & + (-C^{jk,i}_h + c\beta G^{jk,i} p_h + c\beta G^{jk} \delta^i_h) \dot{\partial}^h + (-C^{jk}_h + c\beta G^{jk} p_h) \nabla_{\dot{\partial}^i} \dot{\partial}^h. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Since $P^h_{rl} = H^h_{rl} - \dot{\partial}^h N_{rl}$, $N_{rl} = p_h H^h_{rl}$ and $p_h \dot{\partial}^h N_{rl} = N_{rl}$, then $p_h P^h_{rl} = 0$. Also we have $p^l P^h_{rl} = p^r P^h_{rl} = 0$ and $\dot{\partial}^k g^{ij} = -2C^{kij}$. Therefore the following relation deduce from (47)

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\dot{\partial}^i} \nabla_{\dot{\partial}^j} \dot{\partial}^k = {} & \frac{\beta^2}{2} \Big[(P^{j,i}_{lr} g^{rk} + P^{k,i}_{lr} g^{rj} - 2P^j_{lr} C^{irk} - 2P^k_{lr} C^{irj} - P^i_{rl} C^{jkr} - P^h_{rl} C^{jk}_h g^{ri}) g^{sl} \\ & - P^j_{lr} C^{lis} g^{rk} - P^k_{lr} C^{lis} g^{rj} \Big] \delta_s + \Big[c\beta p_s (G^{jk,i} + c\beta G^{jk} G^{ih} p_h - \beta C^{jki}) \\ & - \frac{\beta^2}{4} g^{lh} (P^j_{lr} P^i_{hs} g^{rk} + P^j_{lr} P^m_{hn} g^{rk} g^{ni} g_{ms} + P^k_{lr} P^i_{hs} g^{rj} + P^k_{lr} P^m_{hn} g^{rj} g^{ni} g_{ms}) \\ & + c\beta G^{jk} \delta^i_s + C^{jk}_h C^{ih}_s - C^{jk,i}_s \Big] \dot{\partial}^s, \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where $P^{j,i}_{lr} = \dot{\partial}^i P^j_{lr}$. Since $\dot{\partial}^i \tau = p^i$ and $\dot{\partial}^i p^j = g^{ij}$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c\beta p_s \{ G^{jk,i} & - G^{ik,j} + c\beta G^{jk} G^{ih} p_h - c\beta G^{ik} G^{jh} p_h \} = \\ & \frac{c^2 \beta^4}{1 - 2c\beta^2 \tau} (g^{ik} p^j - g^{jk} p^i + g^{jk} p^i - g^{ik} p^j) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

With replacing i, j in (48), setting this equations in (46) and attention (49), we can obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned} K(\dot{\partial}^i, \dot{\partial}^j)\dot{\partial}^k = {} & \frac{\beta^2}{2} \Big[(P^{j,i}_{lr} g^{rk} + P^{k,i}_{lr} g^{rj} - P^{i,j}_{lr} g^{rk} - P^{k,j}_{lr} g^{ri} - P^j_{lr} C^{irk} + P^h_{rl} C^{ik}_h g^{rj} - P^h_{rl} C^{jk}_h g^{ri} \\ & + P^i_{rl} C^{jkr}) g^{sl} + g^{rk} (P^i_{lr} C^{ljs} - P^j_{lr} C^{lis}) - P^k_{lr} C^{lis} g^{rj} + P^k_{lr} C^{ljs} g^{ri} \Big] \delta_s \\ & + \Big[\frac{\beta^2}{4} g^{lh} \big(P^i_{lr} P^j_{hs} g^{rk} - P^j_{lr} P^i_{hs} g^{rk} + P^i_{lr} P^m_{hn} g^{rk} g^{nj} g_{ms} - P^j_{lr} P^m_{hn} g^{rk} g^{ni} g_{ms} \\ & + P^k_{lr} P^j_{hs} g^{ri} - P^k_{lr} P^i_{hs} g^{rj} + P^k_{lr} P^m_{hn} g^{ri} g^{nj} g_{ms} - P^k_{lr} P^m_{hn} g^{rj} g^{ni} g_{ms} \big) \\ & + C^{ik,j}_s - C^{jk,i}_s + c\beta G^{jk} \delta^i_s - c\beta G^{ik} \delta^j_s + C^{jk}_h C^{ih}_s - C^{ik}_h C^{jh}_s \Big] \dot{\partial}^s. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

By (45) it follows that

$$K(\dot{\partial}^i, \delta_j)\dot{\partial}^k = \nabla_{\dot{\partial}^i} \nabla_{\delta_j} \dot{\partial}^k - \nabla_{\delta_j} \nabla_{\dot{\partial}^i} \dot{\partial}^k - \nabla_{[\dot{\partial}^i, \delta_j]} \dot{\partial}^k. \tag{51}$$

From (40), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\dot{\partial}^i} \nabla_{\delta_j} \dot{\partial}^k = {} & (C^{kh,i}_j - c\beta G^{kh,i} p_j - c\beta G^{kh} \delta^i_j) \delta_h + (C^{kh}_j - c\beta G^{kh} p_j) \nabla_{\dot{\partial}^i} \delta_h \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \{ P^{k,i}_{jh} - (P^l_{jr} g^{rk} g_{lh})^{,i} - 2H^{k,i}_{jh} \} \dot{\partial}^h \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \{ P^k_{jh} - P^l_{jr} g^{rk} g_{lh} - 2H^k_{jh} \} \nabla_{\dot{\partial}^i} \dot{\partial}^h. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Putting (37) and (41) in the above relation yields

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\dot\partial^i}\nabla_{\delta_j}\dot\partial^k = &\Big[C_j^{ks,i} - c\beta G^{ks,i}p_j - c\beta G^{ks}\delta_j^i + C_j^{kh}C_h^{is} - c\beta C_j^{is}G^{kh}p_j + c^2\beta^2 G^{kh}G^{is}p_jp_s\\ &-\frac{\beta^2}{4}g_{ts}\big(P^l_{jr}P^i_{mt}g^{rk}g_{lh}g^{mh} + P^l_{jr}P^h_{mt}g^{rk}g_{lh}g^{mi} + 2P^i_{mt}H^k_{jh}g^{mh} + 2P^h_{mt}H^k_{jh}g^{mi}\big)\\ &+\frac{\beta^2}{4}g^{ls}(P^k_{jh}P^i_{rl}g^{rh} + P^k_{jh}P^h_{rl}g^{ri})\Big]\delta_s + \Big[-\frac{1}{2}g_{ls}(C_j^{kh}P^l_{hr}g^{ri} + \frac{1}{2}P^{l,i}_{jr}g^{rk})\\ &+\frac{1}{2}c\beta^2 g^{kh}p_j(P^i_{hs} + P^l_{hr}g^{ri}g_{ls}) + \frac{1}{2}c\beta^2 p_s(P^k_{jh}g^{ih} - P^i_{jr}g^{rk} - 2H^k_{jh}G^{ih})\\ &+P^l_{jr}(C^{ikr}g_{ls} - 2g^{rk}C^i_{ls}) - \frac{1}{2}(P^k_{jh}C^{ih}_s + C^{kh}_jP^i_{hs} - P^{k,i}_{js})\\ &-H^{k,i}_{js} + H^k_{jh}C^{ih}_s\Big]\dot\partial^s. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\delta_j}\nabla_{\dot\partial^i}\dot\partial^k = &\Big[\frac{\beta^2}{2}\delta_j(P^i_{rl}g^{lk}g^{sr}) + \frac{\beta^2}{2}\delta_j(P^k_{rl}g^{li}g^{sr}) + \frac{\beta^2}{2}P^i_{rl}H^s_{jh}g^{lk}g^{hr}\\ &+\frac{\beta^2}{2}P^k_{rl}H^s_{jh}g^{li}g^{hr} - C^{ik}_hC^{hs}_j + c\beta^2C^{ik}_hg^{hs}p_j - c^2\beta^2G^{ik}G^{hs}p_hp_j\Big]\delta_s\\ &+\Big[\frac{\beta^2}{2}(P^i_{rl}g^{lk}g^{hr} + P^k_{rl}g^{li}g^{hr})(-\frac{1}{\beta^2}C_{jhs} + c\beta G_{sh}p_j) + \delta_j(-C^{ik}_s + c\beta G^{ik}p_s)\\ &+(-C^{ik}_h + c\beta G^{ik}p_h)(\frac{1}{2}P^h_{js} - \frac{1}{2}P^l_{jr}g^{rh}g_{ls} - H^h_{js})\Big]\dot\partial^s. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Using (37), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{[\dot\partial^i,\delta_j]}\dot\partial^k = &\frac{\beta^2}{2}[P^h_{rl}H^i_{jh}g^{kl} + P^k_{rl}H^i_{jh}g^{hl} - P^h_{rl}P^i_{jh}g^{kl} - P^k_{rl}P^i_{jh}g^{hl}]g^{sr}\delta_s\\ &+[-H^i_{jh}C^{hk}_s + c\beta H^i_{jh}G^{hk}p_s + P^i_{jh}C^{hk}_s - c\beta^2P^i_{jh}g^{hk}p_s]\dot\partial^s. \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

With a direct computation it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&c^2\beta^2G^{kh}G^{is}p_jp_h + c^2\beta^2G^{ik}G^{hs}p_jp_h - c\beta G^{ks,i}p_j - c\beta G^{kh}C^{is}_hp_j - c\beta G^{hs}C^{ik}_hp_j\\ &= \frac{c^2\beta^4}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}g^{is}p^kp_j + \frac{c^2\beta^4}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}g^{ik}p^sp_j + \frac{2c^3\beta^6}{(1-2c\beta^2\tau)^2}p^ip^kp^sp_j + 2c\beta^2C^{iks}p_j\\ &-\frac{2c^3\beta^6}{(1-2c\beta^2\tau)^2}p^ip^kp^sp_j - \frac{c^2\beta^4}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}g^{ik}p^sp_j - \frac{c^2\beta^4}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}g^{is}p^kp_j - 2c\beta^2C^{kis}p_j\\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

By using (51)-(56) and attention to relations $G^{ik}_{|j} = 0, p_{s|j} = 0$, one can obtains the following

$$\begin{aligned}
K(\dot{\partial}^i, \delta_j)\dot{\partial}^k = \Big[& -c\beta G^{ks}\delta^i_j + C^{ks,i}_j + C^{kh}_j C^{is}_h + C^{ik}_h C^{hs}_j + \frac{\beta^2}{4}P^k_{jh}P^i_{rl}g^{rh}g^{ls} \\
& +\frac{\beta^2}{4}(P^k_{jh}P^h_{rl}g^{ri}g^{ls} - P^l_{jr}P^i_{lt}g^{rk}g^{ts} - 2P^i_{mt}F^k_{jh}g^{mh}g^{ts} - 2P^h_{mt}F^k_{jh}g^{mi}g^{ts}) \\
& -\frac{\beta^2}{2}\big(\delta_j(P^i_{rl}g^{lk}g^{sr}) + \delta_j(P^k_{rl}g^{li}g^{sr}) + P^i_{rl}F^s_{jh}g^{lk}g^{hr} + P^k_{rl}F^s_{jh}g^{li}g^{hr}\big) \\
& -\frac{\beta^2}{2}(P^h_{rl}F^i_{jh}g^{kl}g^{sr} + P^k_{rl}F^i_{jh}g^{hl}g^{sr} - P^i_{jh}P^h_{rl}g^{kl}g^{sr} - P^i_{jh}P^k_{rl}g^{hl}g^{sr}) \\
& -\frac{\beta^2}{4}P^l_{jr}P^h_{mt}g^{rk}g_{lh}g^{mi}g^{ts}\Big]\delta_s + \Big[C^{ik}_{s|j} - \frac{1}{2}C^{kh}_j P^i_{hs} - \frac{1}{2}C^{kh}_j P^l_{hr}g^{ri}g_{ls} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}c\beta^2 P^l_{hr}g^{kh}g^{ri}g_{ls}p_j + \frac{1}{2}P^{k,i}_{js} - \frac{1}{2}P^{l,i}_{jr}g^{rk}g_{ls} + \frac{1}{2}P^l_{jr}C^{irk}g_{ls} - P^l_{jr}C^i_{ls}g^{rk} \\
& -H^{k,i}_{js} - P^i_{jh}C^{kh}_s + c\beta^2 P^i_{jh}g^{hk}p_s + \frac{1}{2}c\beta^2(P^k_{jh}g^{ih}p_s - P^i_{jr}g^{rk}p_s - P^k_{sl}g^{li}p_j) \\
& -\frac{1}{2}(P^k_{jh}C^{ih}_s - P^l_{jr}C^i_{ls}g^{rk} - P^i_{rl}C^r_{js}g^{lk} - P^k_{rl}C^r_{js}g^{li} - P^h_{js}C^{ik}_h)\Big]\dot{\partial}^s. \qquad (57)
\end{aligned}$$

From (40) and (44), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K(\delta_i, \delta_j)\delta_k = & \Big[R^s_{kji} + \frac{1}{\beta^2}(C_{ikh}C^{hs}_j - C_{jkh}C^{hs}_i) + c^2\beta^2(p_i\delta^s_j - p_j\delta^s_i)p_k\Big]\delta_s \\
& +\Big[\frac{1}{\beta^2}(C_{ikh}P^h_{jr} - C_{jkh}P^h_{ir}) + \frac{1}{\beta^2}(C_{ikr|j} - C_{jkr|i}) + cg_{hk}(P^h_{ir}p_j - P^h_{jr}p_i) \\
& +c^2\beta^2 p_h p_k(P^h_{jr}p_i - P^h_{ir}p_j)\Big]\dot{\partial}^s, \qquad (58)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
K(\delta_i, \delta_j)\dot{\partial}^k = & \Big[\frac{1}{2}(P^k_{jh}C^{hs}_i - P^k_{ih}C^{hs}_j) + \frac{\beta^2}{2}R_{hij}(P^h_{mr}g^{ms}g^{rk} + P^k_{mr}g^{ms}g^{rh}) \\
& +\frac{c\beta^2}{2}(P^k_{ih}g^{hs}p_j - P^k_{jh}g^{hs}p_i - P^s_{ir}g^{rk}p_j + P^s_{jr}g^{rk}p_i) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}g^{rk}(P^m_{ir}C^s_{jm} - \frac{1}{2}P^m_{jr}C^s_{im}) + C^{ks}_{j|i} - C^{ks}_{i|j}\Big]\delta_s \\
& +\Big[\frac{1}{2}\Big(\delta_j(P^m_{ir}g^{rk}g_{ms}) - \delta_i(P^m_{jr}g^{rk}g_{ms})\Big) + \frac{1}{\beta^2}(C^{kh}_i C_{jhs} - C^{kh}_j C_{ihs}) \\
& +R^k_{sij} + c\beta R_{hij}G^{hk}p_s - \frac{1}{2}(P^k_{js|i} - P^k_{is|j}) + \frac{1}{4}(P^k_{jh}P^h_{is} - P^k_{ih}P^h_{js}) \\
& +\frac{1}{4}g_{ws}\ \big(P^k_{ih}P^w_{ju}g^{uh} - P^k_{jh}P^w_{iu}g^{uh} + P^m_{jr}P^w_{im}g^{rk} - P^m_{ir}P^w_{jm}g^{rk}\big) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}g^{rk}g_{mh}(P^m_{jr}H^h_{is} - P^m_{ir}H^h_{js}) + \frac{1}{2}g^{uh}g_{ws}(P^w_{iu}H^k_{jh} - P^w_{ju}H^k_{ih}) \\
& +\frac{1}{4}(P^m_{ir}P^h_{js}g^{rk}g_{mh} - P^m_{jr}P^h_{is}g^{rk}g_{mh})\Big]\dot{\partial}^s. \qquad (59)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, from (37) and (41), we get

$$\begin{aligned}K(\dot{\partial}^i,\dot{\partial}^j)\delta_k = \Big[&-\frac{\beta^2}{4}g^{us}(P^j_{kh}P^i_{uv}g^{vh}-P^i_{kh}P^j_{uv}g^{vh}+P^j_{kh}P^h_{uv}g^{vi}-P^i_{kh}P^h_{uv}g^{vj}\\ &+P^m_{kr}P^h_{uv}g^{vi}g^{jr}g_{mh}-P^m_{kr}P^h_{uv}g^{vj}g^{ir}g_{mh}+P^m_{kr}P^i_{um}g^{jr}-P^m_{kr}P^j_{um}g^{ir})\\ &+C^{js,i}_k-C^{is,j}_k+C^{jh}_kC^{is}_h-C^{ih}_kC^{js}_h+c\beta G^{is}\delta^j_k-c\beta G^{js}\delta^i_k\Big]\delta_s\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\Big[c\beta^2p_k(P^i_{hs}g^{jh}-P^j_{hs}g^{ih}+P^m_{hr}g^{jh}g^{ir}g_{ms}-P^m_{hr}g^{ih}g^{jr}g_{ms})\\ &+(P^m_{kr}g^{ir}g_{ms})^{,j}-(P^m_{kr}g^{jr}g_{ms})^{,i}+P^{i,j}_{ks}-P^{j,i}_{ks}+C^{ih}_kP^j_{hs}\\ &-C^{jh}_kP^i_{hs}+C^{ih}_kP^m_{hr}g^{jr}g_{ms}-C^{jh}_kP^m_{hr}g^{ir}g_{ms}+C^{ih}_sP^j_{kh}\\ &-C^{jh}_sP^i_{kh}+C^i_{ms}P^m_{kr}g^{jr}-C^j_{ms}P^m_{kr}g^{ir}\Big]\dot{\partial}^s. \qquad (60)\end{aligned}$$

Finally, from (37), (40), (41) and (44) we have

$$\begin{aligned}K(\delta_i,\dot{\partial}^j)\delta_k = \Big[&\frac{1}{2}c\beta^2p_i(P^j_{kh}g^{hs}+P^s_{kr}g^{rj})-\frac{1}{2}(P^j_{kh}C^{hs}_i+P^l_{kr}C^s_{li}g^{rj})-H^{s,j}_{ik}+C^{js}_{k|i}\\ &+\frac{1}{2}g^{sl}(P^j_{lr}C^r_{ik}+P^h_{lr}C_{ikh}g^{rj}-c\beta^3P^j_{lr}g^{rh}G_{hk}p_i)+c\beta^2P^j_{ih}g^{hs}p_k\\ &-\frac{1}{2}c\beta^2P^h_{lr}g^{rj}g^{sl}g_{hk}p_i-P^j_{ih}C^{hs}_k\Big]\delta_s+\Big[cC^j_{is}p_k-\frac{1}{2}p^j_{ks|i}-\frac{1}{\beta^2}C^{jh}_kC_{ihs}\\ &-\frac{1}{2}\delta_i(P^l_{kr}g^{rj}g_{ls})-\frac{1}{4}P^j_{kh}P^h_{is}+\frac{1}{4}P^j_{kh}P^l_{ir}g^{rh}g_{ls}-\frac{1}{4}P^l_{kr}P^h_{is}g^{rj}g_{lh}+\frac{1}{\beta^2}C^{,j}_{iks}\\ &+\frac{1}{4}P^l_{kr}P^m_{il}g^{rj}g_{ms}+\frac{1}{2}P^l_{kr}H^h_{is}g^{rj}g_{lh}+\frac{1}{2}P^l_{hr}H^h_{ik}g^{rj}g_{ls}-c\beta G_{sk}\delta^j_i-\frac{c}{\beta}C^j_{ik}p_s\\ &-\frac{1}{\beta^2}C_{ikh}C^{jh}_s-\frac{1}{2}P^l_{kr}H^j_{ih}g^{rh}g_{ls}+\frac{1}{2}P^j_{ih}P^h_{ks}+\frac{1}{2}P^j_{ih}P^l_{kr}g^{rh}g_{ls}\Big]\dot{\partial}^s. \qquad (61)\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let (M,K) be a Cartan space of constant curvature c and the components of the metric G are given by (33). Then the following are hold if and only if (M,K) is reduce to a Riemannian space.*
(i) for $c<0$, (T^*M_0,G,J) is a Kähler Einstein manifold.
(ii) for $c>0$, $(T^*_\beta M_0,G,J)$ is a Kähler Einstein manifold, where $T^*_\beta M_0$ is the tube around the zero section in TM defined by $2\tau<\frac{1}{c\beta^2}$.

Proof. Let (M,K) be a Cartan space. Then C^{hi}_k and P^h_{ik} are vanish and H^i_{jk} is a function of (x^h). Therefore (58) reduce to

$$K(\delta_i,\delta_j)\delta_k=[R^s_{kji}+c^2\beta^2(p_i\delta^s_j-p_j\delta^s_i)p_k]\delta_s. \qquad (62)$$

From Proposition 10.2 in chapter 4 of [11], we have $R_{kji}=-p_hR^h_{kji}$. Then we get

$$p_hR^h_{kji}=c(g_{kj}\delta^h_i-g_{ki}\delta^h_j)p_h. \qquad (63)$$

Differentiating (63) with respect to p_s, taking $p=0$, yields

$$R^s_{kji}=c(g_{kj}\delta^s_i-g_{ki}\delta^s_j).$$

By putting above equation in (62), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}K(\delta_i,\delta_j)\delta_k&=c\beta[(\frac{1}{\beta}g_{kj}-c\beta p_kp_j)\delta^s_i-(\frac{1}{\beta}g_{ki}-c\beta\ p_kp_i)\delta^s_j]\delta_s\\ &=c\beta(G_{kj}\delta^s_i-G_{ki}\delta^s_j)\delta_s. \qquad (64)\end{aligned}$$

Also from (61), we obtain

$$K(\dot{\partial}^i,\delta_j)\delta_k = c\beta G_{sk}\delta^i_j\dot{\partial}^s. \tag{65}$$

From (64) and (65), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ric(\delta_j,\delta_k) &= G^{hi}G(K(\delta_i,\delta_j)\delta_k,\delta_h) + G_{hi}G(K(\dot{\partial}^i,\delta_j)\delta_k,\dot{\partial}^h),\\ &= c\beta(G_{kj}\delta^s_i - G_{ki}\delta^s_j)G^{hi}G_{sh} + c\beta G_{sk}\delta^i_j G_{hi}G^{sh}\\ &= cn\beta G_{jk}\\ &= cn\beta G(\delta_j,\delta_k). \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Similarly from (50) and (57), respectively, we have

$$K(\dot{\partial}^i,\dot{\partial}^j)\dot{\partial}^k = c\beta(G^{jk}\delta^i_s - G^{ik}\delta^j_s)\dot{\partial}^s, \tag{67}$$

and

$$K(\delta_i,\dot{\partial}^j)\dot{\partial}^k = c\beta G^{ks}\delta^j_i\delta_s. \tag{68}$$

Using (67) and (68), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} Ric(\dot{\partial}^j,\dot{\partial}^k) &= G^{ih}G(K(\delta_i,\dot{\partial}^j)\dot{\partial}^k,\delta_h) + G_{ih}G(K(\dot{\partial}^i,\dot{\partial}^j)\dot{\partial}^k,\dot{\partial}^h)\\ &= c\beta G^{ks}\delta^j_i G^{ih}G_{hs} + c\beta(G^{jk}\delta^i_s - G^{ik}\delta^j_s)G_{hi}G^{hs}\\ &= cn\beta G^{jk}\\ &= cn\beta G(\dot{\partial}^j,\dot{\partial}^k). \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

By (57) and (59),respectively, we have

$$K(\delta_i,\delta_j)\dot{\partial}^k = (R^k_{sij} + c\beta R_{hij}G^{hk}p_s)\dot{\partial}^s, \tag{70}$$

and

$$K(\dot{\partial}^i,\delta_j)\dot{\partial}^k = -c\beta G^{ks}\delta^i_j\delta_s. \tag{71}$$

Using (70) and (71), we obtain

$$Ric(\delta_j,\dot{\partial}^k) = G^{ih}G(K(\delta_i,\delta_j)\dot{\partial}^k,\delta_h) + G_{ih}G(K(\dot{\partial}^i,\delta_j)\dot{\partial}^k,\dot{\partial}^h) = 0. \tag{72}$$

From (60), we have

$$K(\dot{\partial}^i,\dot{\partial}^j)\delta_k = c\beta(G^{is}\delta^j_k - G^{js}\delta^i_k)\delta_s. \tag{73}$$

By attention to (65) and (73), one can obtains

$$Ric(\dot{\partial}^j,\delta_k) = G^{ih}G(K(\delta_i,\dot{\partial}^j)\delta_k,\delta_h) + G_{ih}G(K(\dot{\partial}^i,\dot{\partial}^j)\delta_k,\dot{\partial}^h) = 0. \tag{74}$$

From (66), (69), (72) and (74), we deduce that

$$Ric(X,Y) = cn\beta G(X,Y), \quad \forall X,Y \in \chi(T^*M).$$

This means that (T^*M,G) is a Einstein manifold.

Conversely, suppose that the conditions $(i),(ii)$ are hold. Then there exists a real constant λ such that $Ric(X,Y) = \lambda G(X,Y)$. We consider the following cases:

Case (1). If $\lambda = 0$ (i.e., (T^*M,G) is Ricci flat), then we have $Ric(\dot{\partial}^j,\dot{\partial}^k) = 0$. By using (50),(57) and definition of Ricci tensor, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = p_k Ric(\dot{\partial}^j,\dot{\partial}^k) = cn\beta p_k G^{jk} - p_k C^{jk,s}_s &+ \frac{\beta^2}{2}\delta_s(P^j_{rl}g^{lk}g^{sr})p_k + \frac{\beta^2}{2}\delta_s(P^k_{rl}g^{lj}g^{sr})p_k\\ &+ \frac{\beta^2}{2}g^{ts}p_k(P^j_{mt}H^k_{sh}g^{mh} + P^h_{mt}H^k_{sh}g^{mj}). \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

Since $P^j_{rl}g^{lk}g^{sr}p_k = P^j_{rl}p^l g^{sr} = 0$ and $\delta_s p_k = H^h_{sk}p_h$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_s(P^j_{rl}g^{lk}g^{sr})p_k &= -(\delta_s p_k)P^j_{rl}g^{lk}g^{sr}\\ &= -P^j_{rl}g^{lk}g^{sr}H^h_{sk}p_h.\end{aligned}$$

Replacing h and k, changing r to t, and l to m in the above equation yields

$$\delta_s(P^j_{rl}g^{lk}g^{sr})p_k = -P^j_{tm}g^{mh}g^{st}H^k_{sh}p_k. \tag{76}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\delta_s(P^k_{rl}g^{lj}g^{sr})p_k = -P^h_{tm}g^{mj}g^{st}H^k_{sh}p_k. \tag{77}$$

With direct computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}cn\beta p_k G^{jk} &= cn\beta p_k(\beta g^{jk} + \frac{c\beta^3}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}p^j p^k)\\ &= \frac{cn\beta^2}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}p^j,\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

and

$$p_k C^{jk,s}_s = -p^{;s}_k C^{jk}_s = -\delta^s_k C^{jk}_s = -C^{js}_s = -I^j. \tag{79}$$

By using (75)-(79), we obtain

$$\frac{cn\beta^2}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}p^j + I^j = 0. \tag{80}$$

Since $p_j I^j = 0$, then by contracting (80) with p_j, we have

$$\frac{2cn\beta^2\tau}{1-2c\beta^2\tau} = 0. \tag{81}$$

From the above equation, we conclude that $\beta = 0$ and this is a contradiction.

Case (2). If $\lambda \neq 0$, then we have

$$p_k Ric(\dot{\partial}^j, \dot{\partial}^k) = \lambda G^{jk}p_k.$$

Therefore by using (50), (57), (76)-(79), we obtain

$$I^j = (\lambda - cn\beta)\frac{\beta}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}p^j. \tag{82}$$

By contracting (82) with p_j, we have

$$(\lambda - cn\beta)\frac{2\beta\tau}{1-2c\beta^2\tau} = 0, \tag{83}$$

i.e., $\lambda = cn\beta$. Then from (82), we result that $I^j = 0$, i.e., (M, K) is reduce to a Riemannian space. □

Corollary 4.2. *There is not exist any non-Riemannian Cartan structure such that* (T^*M_0, G, J) *became a Einstein manifold.*

Theorem 4.3. *Let (M, K) be a Cartan space of constant curvature c and the components of the metric G are given by (33). Then the following are hold if and only if (M, K) is reduce to a Riemannian space.*
(i) for $c < 0$, (T^*M_0, G, J) is a locally symmetric Kähler manifold.
(ii) for $c > 0$, $(T^*_\beta M_0, G, J)$ is a locally symmetric Kähler manifold, where $T^*_\beta M_\circ$ is the tube around the zero section in T^*M, defined by the condition $2\tau < \frac{1}{c\beta^2}$.

Proof. Let (M, K) be a Cartan space. Using (50), (57)-(61), we have computed the covariant derivatives of curvature tensor field K in the local adapted frame $(\delta_i, \dot{\partial}^i)$ with respect to the connection ∇ and obtained in the twelve cases the result is zero.

Conversely, let (i) and (ii) are hold. Thus we get $\nabla K = 0$. For simplify, we let (M, K) be a Berwald-Cartan space. Put

$$(\nabla K)(\dot{\partial}^u, \dot{\partial}^i, \delta_j, \dot{\partial}^k) = M_j^{uiks}\delta_s + N_{sj}^{uik}\dot{\partial}^s.$$

Since $(\nabla K)(\dot{\partial}^u, \dot{\partial}^i, \delta_j, \dot{\partial}^k) = 0$, then we have $M_j^{uiks} = N_{sj}^{uik} = 0$. Thus we have $p^j p_i M_j^{uiks} = 0$. By a straightforward calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p^j p_i M_j^{uiks} = p^j p_i C_j^{ks,i,u} \; &- 2c\beta\tau(G^{ks,u} + G^{kh}C_h^{us} + G^{uh}C_h^{ks} + G^{hs}C_h^{uk}) \\ &+ 2c^2\beta^2\tau(G^{us}G^{kh} + G^{uk}G^{hs})p_h. \end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

By a direct computation, we have

$$G^{ks,u} = -2\beta C^{ksu} + \frac{2c^2\beta^5}{(1-2c\beta^2\tau)^2}p^k p^u p^s + \frac{c\beta^3}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}g^{ku}p^s + \frac{c\beta^3}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}g^{su}p^k. \tag{85}$$

Also, we obtain

$$G^{us}G^{kh}p_h = \frac{\beta}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}p^s G^{ku} = \frac{\beta^2}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}g^{ku}p^s + \frac{c\beta^4}{(1-2c\beta^2\tau)^2}p^u p^k p^s, \tag{86}$$

and

$$G^{uk}G^{hs}p_h = \frac{\beta}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}p^k G^{su} = \frac{\beta^2}{1-2c\beta^2\tau}g^{su}p^k + \frac{c\beta^4}{(1-2c\beta^2\tau)^2}p^u p^s p^k. \tag{87}$$

Putting (85), (86) and (87) in (84), one can yields

$$p^j p_i M_j^{uiks} = p^j p_i C_j^{ks,i,u} - 2c\beta\tau(-2\beta C^{kus} + G^{kh}C_h^{us} + G^{uh}C_h^{ks} + G^{hs}C_h^{uk}). \tag{88}$$

Since $p_i C_j^{ks,i} = -C_j^{ks}$, then we obtain

$$p^j p_i C_j^{ks,i,u} = 2g^{uj}C_j^{ks} = 2C^{kus}.$$

Also, since $C_h^{us}p^h = 0$, then we have

$$G^{kh}C_h^{us} = G^{uh}C_h^{ks} = G^{hs}C_h^{uk} = \beta C^{kus}.$$

Therefore (88) reduces to following

$$p^j p_i M_j^{uiks} = 2(1 - c\beta^2\tau)C^{kus}. \tag{89}$$

Since $p^j p_i M_j^{uiks} = 0$, then by (89), we have $C^{kus} = 0$, i.e., K is a Riemannian metric. ☐

Corollary 4.4. *There is not exist any non-Riemannian Cartan structure such that (T^*M_0, G, J) became a locally symmetric manifold.*

References

[1] H. Akbar-Zadeh, *Initiation to Global Finslerian Geometry*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, 2006.

[2] M. Anastasiei and P. L. Antonelli, *The Differential Geometry of Lagrangians which Generate Sprays*, Kluwer Acad. Publ. FTPH, **76**(1996), 15-34.

[3] M. Anastasiei and S. Vacaru, *Fedosov quantization of Lagrange-Finsler and Hamilton-Cartan spaces and Einstein gravity lifts on (co) tangent bundles*, J. Math. Phys. **50**, 013510 (2009).

[4] D. Bao, S. S. Chern and Z. Shen, *An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 2000.

[5] D. Hrimiuc, *The generalized Legendre transformation*, Proc. Nat. Seminar on Lagrange Space, (1988), 167-171.

[6] D. Hrimiuc and H. Shimada, *On the L-duality between Lagrange and Hamilton manifolds*, Nonlinear World, **3**(1996), 613-641.

[7] M. Kawaguchi, *An Introduction to the Theory of Higher Order Space, I: The Theory of Kawaguchi Spaces*, RAAG Memoirs, vol. 3, 1962.

[8] M. Matsumoto, *Foundation of Finsler Geometry and Special Finsler Spaces*, Kaiseisha Press, Otsu, 1986.

[9] R. Miron and M. Anastasiei, *The Geometry of Lagrange Spaces: Theory and Applications*, Kluwer Acad. Publ. 1994.

[10] R. Miron and M. Anastasiei, *Vector bundles and Lagrange spaces with applications to relativity* , Geometry Balkan Press, Romania, 1997.

[11] R. Miron, D. Hrimiuc, H. Shimada and V. S. Sabau, *The Geometry of Hamilton and Lagrange Spaces*, Kluwer Acad. Publ. 2000.

[12] R. Miron, *Hamilton Geometry*, Univ. Timisoara (Romania), Sem. Mecanica, **3**(1987), 1-54.

[13] R. Miron, *Cartan Spaces in a new point of view by considering them as duals of Finsler Spaces*, Tensor N.S. **46**(1987), 330-334.

[14] R. Miron, *The geometry of Cartan spaces*, Prog. Math. India. **22**(1988), 1-38.

[15] E. Peyghan and A. Tayebi, *Finslerian Complex and Kählerian Structures*, Journal of Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Appl. doi: 10.1016/j.nonrwa.2009.10.022.

[16] E. Peyghan and A. Tayebi, *A Kähler structure on Finsler spaces with nonzero constant flag curvature*, J. Math. Phys. **51**, 022904 (2010).

[17] Z. Shen, *Differential Geometry of Spray and Finsler Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.

[18] Z. Shen, *Lectures on Finsler Geometry*, World Scientific, 2001.

[19] S. Vacaru, *Deformation quantization of almost Kähler models and Lagrange-Finsler spaces*, J. Math. Phys. **48**, 123509 (2007).

[20] S. Vacaru, *Deformation quantization of nonholonomic almost Kähler models and Einstein gravity*, Phys. Lett. A. **372**(2008), 2949-2955.

[21] S. Vacaru, *Clifford-Finsler algebroids and nonholonomic Einstein-Dirac structures*, J. Math. Phys. **47**, 093504 (2006).

Esmail Peyghan
Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics
Arak University
Arak, Iran
Email: epeyghan@gmail.com

Akbar Tayebi
Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics
Qom University
Qom. Iran
Email: akbar.tayebi@gmail.com